


**Overview**

The NFIs post distribution monitoring exercise performed in Amanat Al Asimah and Hajjah Governorates covering 6 districts. The sampling method used is 10% of the total beneficiaries in each district. The exercise completed between 16 Feb.- 28 Mar. 2016.


**Interviewee age and gender**



**Head of household**




**Age of family members**




**Participated Agencies:**



**Exercise Coverage**




**Place of displacement**




**Average rental payment**


The chart below represent responses from 97% of beneficiaries who are renting houses.



**Duration of displacement in the current location**




**Distribution method well-organized (98% of respondents)**



**Activity Timeframe**


Started: 16 February 2016  
Ended: 28 March 2016

**Enumerators**






## Quality of items




## Actual use of items



\*The families were forced to sell their non-food items to purchase some food items.


## Waiting time in the distribution site (96% of respondents)




## Observations

- The families surveyed were displaced from 12 Governorates: AlBayda, AlJawf, Amanat AlAsimah, Ibb, Sa'ada, Sana'a Taizz, AlHudaydah, Hajjah, Aden, Amran and Marib.
- The results of the exercise shows that the quantities of non-food items distributed often did not consider the average size of the family.
- Lack of uniformity of non-food items kits. Non-food items kits varied by organization and some did not meet standards set by the cluster.
- The other needs reported by the beneficiaries included food, water filters, water tanks, school kits, hygiene kits, dignity kits, medicine and sewing machines.
- All the beneficiaries found the items received very useful.
- Beneficiaries reported that there is tension between the host community and internally displaced people as well as internally displaced people receiving non-food items and those not.
- The beneficiaries noted the issue of disorganized distribution process in some of the sites with some being overcrowded.
- 3% of the beneficiaries surveyed confirmed that they did not receive any type of non-food assistance.


## Priority needs in addition to NFIs distributed




## Consultation (98% of respondents)



## Communication (96% of respondents)



## Selection Criteria (95% of respondents)



## Recipient of items (94% of respondents)

